



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 13DV13932 5277 Lance C. Shumaker 09/833,432 04/12/2001 EXAMINER 31450 7590 09/23/2004 NAHAR, QAMRUN MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 100 PINE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 1166 2124 HARRISBURG, PA 17108-5300

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		ļ ··		SHUMAKER ET AL.	
		09/833,432 Examiner		Art Unit	
·		Qamrun Na	l ahar l	2124	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic			_	dress
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 April 2001.					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌 💲	The formal matter and the month is				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/01.	FO-948) PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail C 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:)ate	O-152)

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cohen (U.S. 6,178,430).

Per Claim 1:

The Cohen patent discloses:

- a method for determining a module to be added to an Intranet portal ("The present invention downloads the standards document to a network server and connects the standards document into a HTML document. The HTML document is then available for display by web browsers on user computers connected to the network. The present invention additionally provides to users various management services that allow users to request changes to the standards document and to request exceptions, or variances, from the standards in order to perform specific tasks in a way that would be otherwise precluded by the standards. Users may schedule networked conferences for discussing proposed changes and exceptions and may vote

Art Unit: 2124

on proposed changes and exceptions by using management services provided by the present invention." in column 3, lines 1-14)

- proposing, by a user, a module to be added to an Intranet portal; adding the proposed module to a list of suggested modules ("Change Requests table", column 7, lines 58-67 to column 8, lines 1-16)

- displaying the list of suggested modules to a user of the Intranet portal; selecting, by a user, a module from the list of suggested modules; indicating, by a user, support for the selected module; including the indication of support for the selected module in a cumulative indication of support for the selected module ("Votes table", column 8, lines 55-65; and column 14, lines 55-67 to column 15, lines 1-26)

- and determining, by an administrator, a module to be added to the Intranet portal from the list of suggested modules using the cumulative indication of support for each suggested module in the list of suggested modules (column 15, lines 13-20).

Per Claim 2:

The Cohen patent discloses:

Art Unit: 2124

- wherein the step of proposing a module further comprises the steps of: providing a name for the proposed module; and providing a description for the proposed module (column 7, lines 58-67 to column 8, lines 1-16; column 15, lines 64-67 to column 16, lines 1-12).

Per Claim 3:

The Cohen patent discloses:

- further comprising the step of reviewing, by a user, the description of the selected module (column 8, lines 55-65).

Per Claim 4:

The Cohen patent discloses:

- wherein the step of reviewing the description of the selected module comprises the step of opening a separate window that includes the description of the selected module (column 16, lines 26-32).

Per Claim 5:

The Cohen patent discloses:

- wherein the step of indicating support further comprises the step of selecting, by a user, a button associated with the selected module (column 16, lines 19-26).

Art Unit: 2124

Per Claim 6:

The Cohen patent discloses:

- wherein the indication of support for the selected module is a vote for the selected module (column 16, lines 19-26).

Per Claim 7:

The Cohen patent discloses:

- wherein the cumulative indication of support for the selected module is a sum of all the votes for the selected module (column 15, lines 13-20).

Per Claim 8:

The Cohen patent discloses:

- further comprising the step of displaying the sum of all votes for the selected module (column 15, lines 13-20).

Per Claims 9-10 & 12-13:

These are computer program product versions of the claimed method discussed above (claims 1-4, respectively), wherein all claim limitations also have been addressed and/or covered in cited areas as set forth above. Thus, accordingly, these claims are also anticipated by Cohen.

Per Claim 11:

The Cohen patent discloses:

- wherein the update for the proposed module is one of adding the proposed module, changing the proposed module and removing the proposed module (column 7, lines 58-67 to column 8, lines 1-16; column 15, lines 64-67 to column 16, lines 1-12).

Per Claim 14:

The Cohen patent discloses:

- further comprising computer instructions for executing the step of supplementing, by a user, the description of the update for the selected module with additional comments, the additional comments being added to the description of the update for the selected module for review by subsequent users (column 16, lines 7-12).

Per Claims 17-20:

Art Unit: 2124

These are computer program product versions of the claimed method discussed above (claims 5-8, respectively), wherein all claim limitations also have been addressed and/or covered in cited areas as set forth above. Thus, accordingly, these claims are also anticipated by Cohen.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen (U.S. 6,178,430) in view of Sprague (U.S. 5,870,744).

Per Claim 15:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated, and further, Cohen does not explicitly teach wherein the indication of support for the selected module is a rating of the update for the selected module. Sprague teaches wherein the indication of support for the selected module is a rating of the update for the selected module (column 6, lines 57-65).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time of the invention was made to modify the computer program product disclosed by Cohen to include wherein the indication of support for the selected module is a rating of the update for the selected module using the teaching of Sprague. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to get a degree of support for a selection.

Art Unit: 2124

Per Claim 16:

The rejection of claim 15 is incorporated, and Sprague further teaches wherein the cumulative indication of support for the selected module is an average of all the ratings for the selected module (column 7, lines 10-17).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Qamrun Nahar whose telephone number is (703) 305-7699 *if calling before October 28, 2004*; otherwise *if calling on or after October 28, 2004*, then the telephone number is (571)272-3730. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2124

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QN September 13, 2004

> ANIL KHATRI PRIMARY EXAMINER